



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/089,583	06/03/98	WEISMAN	K W1068/20011

HM32/0616

CAESAR RIVISE BERNSTEIN COHEN &
POKOTILOV
SEVEN PENN CENTER
1635 MARKET ST 12TH FLOOR
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-2212

EXAMINER

OWENS JR, H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

11

DATE MAILED:

06/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/089,583

Applicant(s)

Weisman et al.

Examiner

Howard Owens

Group Art Unit

1623



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Howard Owens

(3) _____

(2) Alan Bernstein

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 16, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, and 7

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

To better place the claims in condition for allowance, the examiner suggested 1. In claim 1, deleting the phrase "...and its complications including but not limited to....., 2 In claim 2,. deleting the terms "any substance which inhibit the release or effects of LHRH" and substitute therefore those agents for which there is support in the specification, chiefly flutamide, bicalutamide and nilutamide on pp. 3-4 of the specification, and 3. In all occurrences, deleting the terms "...any other method with the intent of accomplishing systemic absorption of the drug sufficient to reduce atherosclerosis".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.